



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,580	03/29/2004	Emily L. Hipp	5760-22802	2084
7590 01/05/2006			EXAMINER	
B. Noel Kivlin			ENG, DAVID Y	
Meyertons, Hoo	od, Kivlin			
Kowert & Goetzel, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 398		2155		
Austin, TX 78767			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/811,580	HIPP ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID Y. ENG	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/16	<u>6/2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See it is objected if the drawing(s) is objected in the drawing(s) is objected to by the Education of the drawing(s) is objected to by the Education of the drawing(s) is objected to by the Education of the Educatio	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· _				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/811,580

Art Unit: 2155

Claims 1-2 have been cancelled. The active claims are 3-38.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams (USP 6,898,636).

Adams teaches: a method comprising:

defining a global address space identifying a plurality of internet protocol (IP) addresses (inherent in network communication) that are reserved for use in one or more virtual network (see "a plurality of virtual networks" in line 10 of column 7 in Adams) environments, wherein each virtual network environment includes one or more of the plurality of IP addresses;

assigning a first IP address of the plurality of IP addresses to a first application (inherent, entities communicating with each other within a network including virtual network, assigning IP address to each of the entities is also inherent in a network);

assigning a second IP address of the plurality of IP addresses to a second application; and

if the first application is to be isolated from the second application, including the first IP address in a first virtual network environment and including the second IP address in a second virtual network environment different from the first virtual network environment, wherein the first virtual network environment is transparent to the first application, and wherein the second virtual network environment is transparent to the

Application/Control Number: 10/811,580

Art Unit: 2155

second application (in lines 16-29 of column 20 Adams teaches that the virtual network is transparent to the users, in other words it is as if the users are using a real network). The only difference is that Adams did not explicitly teach that if the two applications (network users) are required to be separated from each other, then them in separate virtual networks. It is well known in communication art that if two IP addresses are to be isolated, they should be assigned to different network environments so that the two applications associated with the IP addresses are separated. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to assign each of the two addresses to different virtual networks. For example, in order to separate two identical postal addresses 401 Dulany Street for example, it would have been obvious to the Post Master to assign one 401 Dulany Street (IP address) in Alexandria County (virtual network) and the other 401 Dulany Street in Arlington County. The result is the two residences although having the same address are separated. No inventive concept is seen in the claims.

With respect to claim 4, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to put the two IP addresses in the same environment so that the two applications associated with the IP addresses are able to communicate with each other.

With respect to claims 5, 6 and 8, It is well known that whether an IP address is virtual or physical is dependent on the environment the IP address is in.

Claim 7 merely set forth the definition of global address space.

With respect to claims 9 and 10, since the IP addresses are associated with subnet and netmask as recited in claim 7 and with first and second applications as

Application/Control Number: 10/811,580

Art Unit: 2155

recited in claim 3, the subnet and the netmask are therefore naturally associated with the first and the second applications as recited in the claims.

Claim 11 merely defined what the netmask and the subnet are with respect to an application.

With respect to claims 12-14, it is obvious that whether or not the applications are able to communicate with each other is dependent on the capability of their associated IP addresses.

It is noted that no further method steps are recited in the dependent claims. The dependent claims merely recite either assignment of IP addresses, definition or communication between applications with their IP addresses.

As to other dependent claims, they do not define above the invention claimed in Claims 4-14 and therefore are rejected for the same reasons.

The Bhat, Mjyake, Tezuka, Salkewicz and the Hart references are cited for the teaching of plurality of virtual networks.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 15 and 27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to DAVID Y. ENG at telephone number 571-272-3984.

DAVID Y. ENG PRIMARY EXAMINER